2022 Industry Consultation

Stakeholder Feedback Form

The form below has been developed to enable stakeholders to provide their feedback on the questions posed in the 2022 Industry Consultation Paper. The Port of Melbourne (PoM) encourages stakeholders to use this form when submitting written submissions to assist PoM in tracking responses against each issue set out in the consultation paper. Stakeholders should not feel obliged to answer each question, but rather address those issues of particular interest or concern. Further context for the questions can be found in the consultation paper.

**Instructions for submitting written responses**

|  |
| --- |
| Please submit your written submission via email at: [rts@portofmelbourne.com](mailto:rts@portofmelbourne.com)  Written submissions for the 2022 Industry Consultation Paper are due by **8 April 2022**.  Please advise if you have any attachments as part of the submission. |

PoM will not publish any written submissions that it receives, but may seek to quote or refer to feedback provided by stakeholders in our public reporting. Please advise us if you would like all, or any part of, your written submission to be treated confidentially.

**Submitter Details**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ORGANISATION: | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| CONTACT NAME: | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| EMAIL: | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| PHONE: | Click or tap here to enter text. |

**Chapter 1: Overview of the 2022 Industry Consultation**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Feedback on 2022 Industry Consultation Program | |
| 1. Do you have any feedback on approach to the 2022 Industry Consultation program? For example:    1. Timing and opportunity to participate?    2. Level of information provided?    3. Forms of engagement PoM should use? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 1. Is this consultation paper useful? Why/why not? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 1. Are there issues covered in this consultation paper that you would like more detail on, or other issues would you like to see PoM consult on more broadly, or as part of the TCS consultation in future? | Click or tap here to enter text. |

**Chapter 3: Engagement on port development**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Inputs into the design of port development engagement approach | |
| 1. What matters should PoM give priority to when engaging on port development? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 1. How should we include port users’ development plans in our engagement? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 1. How should we manage claims of commercial sensitivity from stevedores in the context of calls from port users for greater transparency on matters that drive investment? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 1. Does the PDS Delivery Program provide sufficient detail on PoM’s investment pipeline? Are there any other details would you like to see? | Click or tap here to enter text. |

**Chapter 4: Performance Data and Metrics**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Input into the development of appropriate port performance metrics | |
| 1. Is there value in PoM publishing the proposed (or other) performance data and metrics set out in this paper? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 1. Do you have a preference for reporting at a whole of port level, terminal-specific level, or both/neither? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 1. What is your view on benchmarks for capacity (i.e. berth utilisation %), quay line productivity (i.e. TEU per annum per metre of quay line) and/or lifts per hour? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 1. How should PoM provide appropriate context when publishing performance data and metrics? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 1. How frequently should PoM publish performance data and metrics? | Click or tap here to enter text. |

**Chapter 5: Tariffs**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Input into the design of possible future tariff structure | |
| 1. Do you have any views on tariff reforms that PoM should consider? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 1. Do you have any views on principles for tariff setting and reform that PoM should have regard to when considering whether to seek to rebalance tariffs? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 1. With respect to future consultation on tariff reforms:    1. What matters or information would you like PoM to include in any future consultation?    2. What information about price impacts would you like to see? | Click or tap here to enter text. |

**Chapter 6: Treatment of deferred depreciation**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Feedback on PoM’s proposed approach to recover deferred depreciation | |
| 1. Do you have any feedback on PoM’s proposed approach to recovering deferred depreciation? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 1. Is there any further information that you would like on PoM’s approach to deferring depreciation and the recovery of deferred depreciation? | Click or tap here to enter text. |

**Chapter 7: Regulatory period**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Input into the design of PoM’s approach to transition into longer regulatory period | |
| 1. Do you have any views on PoM’s proposal to transition to a longer regulatory period in the future? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 1. What is your view on the timing of when PoM should (or if PoM should) transition to a longer regulatory period? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 1. How would you like to be consulted on PoM’s implementation of a longer regulatory period? | Click or tap here to enter text. |
| 1. Are there any other issues we should consider in transitioning to a longer regulatory period? | Click or tap here to enter text. |

**General Feedback**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. Any other comments or feedback you would like to share with us? | Click or tap here to enter text. |